# **Quarterly Report of Appeals, Complaints and Advice – EE Strict**

The BBFC is the regulator of commercial and internet content delivered via the mobile network of EE for its 'Strict' level of mobile content.

In the interest of transparency, the BBFC publishes all of its adjudications in relation to cases reported to it of purported underblocking or overblocking, along with requests for advice on whether particular content should go behind parental controls or adult filters.

We keep this list updated as and when new cases are reported to us and publish updates every three months.

In all cases, the BBFC conveys its adjudication to (i) the complainant, appellant or person or body seeking advice; and (ii) the mobile network operator EE.

In the following cases, the adjudications represent an assessment of the content according to the terms of the BBFC's EE Strict Classification Framework. Any subsequent changes to content have therefore not been viewed by the BBFC, although we reserve the right to change our adjudication should altered content be brought to our attention subsequently.

All websites that the BBFC would classify 18 or R18, or refuse to classify, would be automatically considered unsuitable for the EE Strict Classification Framework. Further information on the original Quarterly Report adjudications are available on the BBFC's website here.

#### October 2023

9 October 2023

### Website

https://www.buck-store.co.uk/

### Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## Adjudication

The URL led to the homepage of a retailer of knives and associated products such as sheathes and sharpeners. At the time of sampling, the products were marketed toward survival, hunting and outdoors purposes. The site included an area detailing the relevant laws surrounding carrying knives. There is a blog in which we found articles on good causes supported by the company. The site made no association between its products and weaponry. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

## Website

https://www.shipadick.co.uk/

## **Issue**

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## Adjudication

The URL led to the homepage of a service through which people can send humourous cardboard penises, for birthday greetings, hen parties etc. For every penis sent, the company donates money to a prostate cancer charity. There are multiple articles on the site related to spreading awareness about prostate cancer. Although there are highly stylised graphic images of penises, the Framework does not restrict such material to adults only. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

11 October 2023

## <u>Website</u>

www.scrolller.com

#### <u>Issue</u>

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## <u>Adjudication</u>

The URL led to a photo and video sharing site on which we were able to find pornographic content. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

## **Website**

https://seedsofscotland.com/

## <u>Issue</u>

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

# Adjudication

The URL led to the homepage of a site which announces an intention to sell seeds. It also hosts workshops in the Highlands for gardeners, farmers and other interested parties. At the time of sampling, no seeds were available for purchase, but nothing in the text or imagery used by the site suggested a motive of anything other than a

legitimate commercial and botanical interest. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

## Website

www.girlaerocity.in

### Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## <u>Adjudication</u>

The URL led to a site which advertised sex workers for hire in India. It featured pornographic images. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

### Website

https://www.chandigarhcallgirlsagency.in/

#### Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## <u>Adjudication</u>

The URL led to a site which advertised sex workers for hire in India. It featured pornographic images. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

18 October 2023

### **Website**

https://www.bishuk.com/

## <u>Issue</u>

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

### Adjudication

The URL led to an sex education resource which describes itself as being "for everyone over 14". It includes guides to relationships, attractiveness, sexuality and masturbation. Much of the content is well calculated for an older teenage audience, however we found other content, such as guides to BDSM (including 'rough sex'), and an encyclopaedia of pornographic terms and types of pornography. The Framework considers content likely to be 18+ where it features "very strong references to sexual behaviour using strong pornographic terms" and where "sex education and advice is... inappropriate for children". We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

20 October 2023

### Website

https://assignmentproof.com/

#### Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## Adjudication

The URL led to a site on which visitors can pay to have text checked for plagiarism. The site includes areas for teachers, students and professionals. A blog contains relevant articles on plagiarism and how to avoid it. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

#### November 2023

1 November 2023

### Website

https://sanctioned-suicide.net/

## Issue

The BBFC previously adjudicated on the website in September 2021. Further to the BBFC's original adjudication, a member of the public contacted the BBFC to complain that no filters had been applied despite material that in the complainant's opinion justified restriction to adults only.

### <u>Adjudication</u>

As before, we noted that the site contained instructional suicide detail, including specific techniques relating to infliction of injury, together with suggested drugs and their fatal doses. Part B of the Framework lists 'instructional references to suicide' as content which we would classify 18 or refuse a classification. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

### Website

https://sanctionedsuicide.site/

#### <u>Issue</u>

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

# <u>Adjudication</u>

As before, we noted that the sites contained instructional suicide detail, including specific techniques relating to infliction of injury, together with suggested drugs and their fatal doses. Part B of the Framework lists 'instructional references to suicide' as content which we would classify 18 or refuse a classification. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

## Website

www.qunsonpegs.com

### Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## Adjudication

The URL led to an online service which connects field sport enthusiasts with shoots. The site also contains a section on insurance as well as related classified ads, such as those for puppies, vehicle hire and holiday cottages. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

## <u>Website</u>

www.ukprowriters.com

### <u>Issue</u>

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

# <u>Adjudication</u>

The URL led to a site which offered writing services with a focus on scripts, CVs and proofreading. At the time of sampling, we found no mention of schoolchildren or school assignments, nor anything that would constitute incitement to illegal activity, such as encouragement to pass the work of others off as one's own. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

#### 7 November 2023

## Website

http://leafie.co.uk

## <u>Issue</u>

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## **Adjudication**

The URL led to a magazine site for those interested in recreational drug misuse, particularly cannabis and psychedelics. The site features articles related to that subject, as well as a news page on social and scientific developments. It also sells legal products such as bongs and grinders. Although some of the content on the site need not have been rated 18+, much of the rest constitutes 'promotion, glamorisation or encouragement of the misuse of illegal drugs', which the Framework considers suitable for adults only. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

## 10 November 2023

### Website

https://www.cityplumbing.co.uk/

#### Issue

A representative of the website contacted the BBFC directly to complain that the site was placed behind adult filters, despite containing no material that in the complainant's opinion would cause access to be restricted to adults only.

# **Adjudication**

The URL led to the site of a commercial supplier of plumbing and heating tools. Based in the UK, the site lists its products, hosts customer reviews and includes a blog. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

15 November 2023

### Website

www.myessaywriter.ai

### Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

# **Adjudication**

The URL led to a site which sells Al-written essays on commission. The site includes a guide to using its services, a selection of sample essays and a blog. Among the types of essays it offers for sale are those for school children. A child purchasing an essay and passing it off as his or her own work would be committing a criminal offence, but this is not immediately clear from the website itself. Part A of the Framework notes that: "There is no one determining factor which would lead to advice being given by the BBFC that particular content is suitable for adults only. The BBFC can take into account a variety of factors, including but not limited to the nature of the material and the public acceptability of a particular product or service in relation to those under 18...lt will also take into account the portrayal of accurate instructional detail of novel criminal techniques which could be copied. In certain circumstances, such content may be suitable for adults only according to BBFC standards." We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

17 November 2023

### Website

www.zenterprize.us

## Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## **Adjudication**

The URL led to the homepage of a fundraising platform for non-profit organisations. It includes a description of the site's services, appraisals from users and a contact form. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

## **Website**

www.goodhemp.com

## Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

# **Adjudication**

The URL led to a site which retails edible hemp products such as milk, flour and seeds. None of the products contain THC. Although the site features multiple images of cannabis plants, it is at pains to point out that the plant referenced is a different species to marijuana: any references to the drug are only to point out this distinction. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

24 November 2023

## <u>Website</u>

www.chat-avenue.com

#### <u>Issue</u>

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## <u>Adjudication</u>

The URL led to a chat site on which we were able to find pornographic content which is inconsistent with Pat B of the Framework. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12

### Website

www.garlandsshootingground.co.uk

### Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

# <u>Adjudication</u>

The URL led to the homepage of a retailer of field sports equipment and clothing. The site also offers clay pigeon shooting events and lessons. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

27 November 2023

## Website

www.shieldsights.com

## **Issue**

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## Adjudication

The URL leads to the homepage of a retailer of laser sight and pistols for sporting marksmanship. The site is accredited by the Firearm Industry Trade Association and hosts a list of other accredited dealers. Its products are marketed as sporting goods and there is no conflation with weaponry. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

## Website

www.pholder.com

### Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

#### <u>Adjudication</u>

The URL led to a site which rehosts media content, with a particular focus on content from reddit.com. Using the site's search function, we were able to find R18 level content which the Framework considers to be suitable for adults only. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

### December 2023

5 December 2023

# <u>Website</u>

www.4channel.org

### <u>Issue</u>

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the websites for people under 18.

# **Adjudication**

The URL led to an online forum, on which we were able to find pornographic images occurring in both animated and non-animated contexts. We also found repeated very strong sex references, as well as discriminatory language. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

### 12 December 2023

### Website

www.r-type.org

#### Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

# **Adjudication**

The URL led to the site of an online valve museum, featuring a wealth of material - including archive adverts, articles, images and video content - relating to the history of electronic valves. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

### 21 December 2023

### Website

www.onevsp.com

### Issue

A mobile network operator contacted the BBFC for advice about the suitability of the website for people under 18.

## <u>Adjudication</u>

The URL led to a site which acts as a video sharing platform for what appeared to be a relatively limited range of content. The videos on display included uploaded feature films and video presentations around real world issues and conflicts, the COVID-19 pandemic, sporting events and issues, and more. On sampling some of these videos, we found a prominent one concerning the current Israel/ Gaza conflict which contained a focus on graphic images of real injury, violence or death. We did not consider the site suitable for children under 12.

## **Website**

https://4love.uk/

#### <u>Issue</u>

The website owner contacted the BBFC directly to complain that the site was placed behind adult filters, despite containing no material that in the complainant's opinion would cause access to be restricted to adults only.

# <u>Adjudication</u>

The URL led to the site of an online clothing retailer which uses celebrities and influencers to promote its products. We found no content that we would consider unsuitable for children under 12.

BBFC 31 December 2023